

Notes meeting - Curation Module - 2016-04-08

Agenda

- Curation module
 - status
 - score calculation
 - web application UI
- VLO
 - 3.4 status
 - 4.0 milestone
- Curation workflow - integration of curation module and VLO
 - Sustainable maintenance of the mappings (including complex
 - Differentiate between types of mappings
 - Using quality score for ranking?
- Any other business

Commented [1]: postpone to next meeting

latest version of curation module: <https://clarin.oeaw.ac.at/curate/>. (unfortunately - some technical difficulty as of today morning :(sorry, for that)

ui mockups for web app.

<https://mockups.com/ostojic.davor@gmail.com/L9GiyDLr>

See also: trac page <https://trac.clarin.eu/wiki/Curation%20Module>

Davor: Status:

- Backend finished
- Normalisation missing yet

Facets currently only

Menzo: could you call the postprocessors

Davor: Where to get the mappings

Twan: Where

We should have both the original and the normalized value in

Dieter: would move mapping from importer to curation

Matej: should we make all the mappings/normalisation explicit/externalised/ made more declarative?

Dieter: Do we really need to take out the normalisation out of vlo-importer?

Menzo: have processors on both places

Matej: same implementation on both sides - share post-processers

Thomas: format of outputted curated md-records?

Matej:

- For Vlo: solr-simplified records
- For metadata author: original cmdi-records (optionally normalised/original)
-

Twan:

- To what degree are preparation for the VLO and improving the quality of a source record actually the same task?

Dieter: do we really need a machine-readable output, or would a human-readable suggestions for fixing be enough.

Matej: For now enrich the facet-section in the curation report with normalised values. Only later see if we can/shall also provide curated/normalised records.

Matej: PARTHENOS JRR as consuming registry for CMDI-records

Dieter: we need to see, if it really comes. Not invest too much.

Dieter: integration harvester curator

Menzo: shell scripts would invoke curator and curation-report is stored next to the data
And will be available in the web application next to the datasets

Score calculation

Dieter: make it by means of a configuration

Matej, Dieter: needs calibration based on experiments, on real data.

Twan: not all facets should be taken into account

Matej: Davor will run curation for the whole harvest (by individual providers) and make it available as some html.

Matej: **integration with VLO?** Vlo-importer would read a curation report. (either invoking curation module for every record; or create a set of reports parallel to the records collection)

Dieter: Do not really see the case. Should we actually add the score into the VLO?

Twan: reward for the authors, better user experience(?)

VLO

3.4 status

Twan: maintenance release (performance hit due to ranking) -> 3.4.1

Statistics-app - grafana, stastd (collection size, over time, status of the harvester)

Currently being tested

4.0

Twan: Priorities

- New visual style at LREC (Prague working on that)
 - https://github.com/clarin-eric/interface_guidelines
 - <http://www.clarin.studiovelmans.nl/styleguide.html>
- Release of CMDI 1.2

